

Schedule to the pleading: Example v Sample (custody of the dog Pixel) [synthetic]

Schedule of lines relied on, per pleaded paragraph

Matter EX-0001 — Example v Sample (custody of the dog Pixel) [synthetic]

Exhibit reference SCH

Court clock Europe/London · **Pipeline run** 64eb7d4964a76303 (Factum 0.1.0a0)

Schedule of the lines relied on, per pleaded paragraph, with the status the assertion register gives each tested assertion (11 assertion(s) of every party, tested by the same rules). 13 distinct message row(s) are reproduced; a row cited under more than one paragraph is shown under each.

Sources

Source	File	Covers (court date)	Tier	Export clock	SHA-256
SG-1	signal-sigtop.txt sigtop; exporter P-ADA; exported 2025-12-16	2025-10-26 to 2025-12-14	T2	Europe/Copenhagen	96db7ed81d819c44f1463e7afadbea4a5cc5139b4db9ab23da7c42aa1bc664c2
WA-1	whatsapp-ios-12h.txt whatsapp_ios_12h; exporter P-ADA; exported 2025-12-15	2025-09-14 to 2025-12-12	T3	Europe/Copenhagen	6ef41f15f670fb9e8fa7ebdb1cce6cfd91ffc2a54e9faf97aa7c33ccb9c97da
WA-2	whatsapp-ios-24h-group.txt whatsapp_ios_24h; exporter P-ADA; exported 2025-12-15	2025-10-01 to 2025-12-12	T3	Europe/Copenhagen	c6236ba901c8a3d8c3a68a7caa49dac6e03a691a069ff00a1a678a6d8a105079

Tier is the fidelity of the export format and acquisition route, not a measure of reliability: T1 forensic database extraction; T2 platform or vendor export; T3 user flat-text export. A T3 source cannot show delivery or read times, the original text of edited messages, deleted content or internal message IDs.

Times: every row shows the court clock (Europe/London). The clock of each export is shown beneath it and is the zone declared for that source (the zone of the exporting device; not verified against the device). Factum makes no inference about a clock it was not told.

Each row gives: reference; source, line number (L) and fidelity tier; the time on the court clock with the export clock beneath it (an ambiguous source time is shown as an interval); the sender with party role in brackets; the message verbatim, with zero-width, direction-control and replacement characters shown as [[U+XXXX]]; and its kind (text, media placeholder with hash, call, deleted, edited). Rows in grey are context lines, marked as context; they are not exhibit items. Nothing is summarised and no row is cropped.

Completeness. Completeness statement: 194 messages in the export; 25 coded to an issue; 23 put in; 1 held; 1 decided out; 0 not yet decided; 168 not coded to an issue; 1 severed and 0 held pending review (never exported). Known gaps: WA-1: no delivery or read times; WA-1: original text of edited messages not in export; WA-2: group export from Ada's phone; Ben's and Cal's devices not examined; SG-1: history before 2025-11-01 not in export; SG-1: exporter does not declare the export complete.

Index of items by issue

I1 Requests to see or have Pixel returned, and the answers given: SCH/2 SCH/3 SCH/4 SCH/5 SCH/8 SCH/9 SCH/10 SCH/12 SCH/13

I2 Plans, commitments and conditions about where Pixel lives: SCH/1 SCH/4 SCH/6 SCH/7

I3 Ownership, money and documents: SCH/11

Contents by month

October 2025 November 2025 December 2025

[Back to cover](#)

Schedule

Paragraph D1 (no. 1)

The Defendant never refused a request by the Claimant to see or to have Pixel.

Issue(s): I1

A1: contradicted — assertion by Ben Sample [defendant]: no refusal by the Defendant

2 matching row(s) found: WA-1:L57, SG-1:L169.

Ref	Source / line / tier	Time (court clock)	Sender [role]	Message
context see SCH/3	WA-1 L56 T3	2025-10-26 01:15:30 BST – 01:15:30 GMT interval: 2 readings (ambiguous source time) export clock: 2025-10-26 02:15:30 CEST to 02:15:30 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	Thanks. Can I have Pixel back from Friday?
SCH/4	WA-1 L57 T3	2025-10-26 01:40:00 BST – 01:40:00 GMT interval: 2 readings (ambiguous source time) export clock: 2025-10-26 02:40:00 CEST to 02:40:00 CET	Ben Sample [defendant]	Not before the behaviourist has seen him.
context	WA-1 L58 T3	2025-10-27 13:55:38 GMT export clock: 2025-10-27 14:55:38 CET	Ben Sample [defendant]	Pixel had a good run in the park today.
context	SG-1 L163 T2	2025-11-23 00:55:38 GMT export clock: 2025-11-23 01:55:38 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	Morning!
SCH/13	SG-1 L169 T2	2025-12-01 11:00:00 GMT export clock: 2025-12-01 12:00:00 CET	Ben Sample [defendant]	No. He stays with me.
context	SG-1 L176 T2	2025-12-02 03:36:15 GMT export clock: 2025-12-02 04:36:15 CET	Ben Sample [defendant]	Talk later.

Paragraph D2 (no. 2)

The Claimant's first request to see Pixel was made on 21 October 2025.

Issue(s): I1

A2: contradicted — assertion by Ben Sample [defendant]: first visit request on 21 October 2025

Earliest matching row is WA-1:L46 on 2025-10-20 (court clock), not 2025-10-21.

Ref	Source / line / tier	Time (court clock)	Sender [role]	Message
context	WA-1 L45 T3	2025-10-20 11:21:35 BST export clock: 2025-10-20 12:21:35 CEST	Ada Example [claimant]	Just landed.
SCH/2	WA-1 L46 T3	2025-10-20 17:02:11 BST export clock: 2025-10-20 18:02:11 CEST	Ada Example [claimant]	Hi Ben, can I see Pixel this Saturday? I would love to take him for a walk.

Ref	Source / line / tier	Time (court clock)	Sender [role]	Message
context	WA-1 L47 T3	2025-10-20 19:15:40 BST export clock: 2025-10-20 20:15:40 CEST	Ben Sample [defendant]	Yes, Saturday at ten works. I will bring him to the park.
context see SCH/8	WA-1 L85 T3	2025-11-14 15:12:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-14 16:12:00 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	Sure, wonderful, that is totally fair. Take him for as long as you like.
SCH/10	WA-1 L86 T3	2025-11-16 09:00:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-16 10:00:00 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	Can I walk Pixel on Sunday?
context	WA-1 L87 T3	2025-11-16 09:31:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-16 10:31:00 CET	Ben Sample [defendant]	EDITED I can do Sunday at noon. [U+200E]<This message was edited> Edit marker present; the original text is not in this export.

Paragraph D3 (no. 3)

In November 2025 the Claimant made three requests for the return of Pixel.

Issue(s): I1

A3: confirmed — assertion by Ben Sample [defendant]: three November return requests

Counted 3 distinct message(s) (eq 3). Denominator: 3 distinct matching entities (rows seen: 3).

Ref	Source / line / tier	Time (court clock)	Sender [role]	Message
context	WA-1 L67 T3	2025-10-31 07:20:42 GMT export clock: 2025-10-31 08:20:42 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	See you then.
SCH/5	WA-1 L68 T3	2025-11-03 08:00:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-03 09:00:00 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	Please return Pixel to me this week.
[1 message withheld here: severed under the matter's instruction]				
context	SG-1 L113 T2	2025-11-15 07:09:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-15 08:09:00 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	FORWARDED Pixel was fine at the vet on Tuesday and the jab is done, so nothing stops him going to Ada this weekend.
SCH/9	SG-1 L119 T2	2025-11-16 06:30:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-16 07:30:00 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	I need Pixel back by Friday, or I will start proceedings.
context	SG-1 L125 T2	2025-11-16 07:16:44 GMT export clock: 2025-11-16 08:16:44 CET	Ben Sample [defendant]	Talk later.
context	WA-1 L99 T3	2025-11-23 17:30:43 GMT export clock: 2025-11-23 18:30:43 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	Pixel ate all his dinner.
SCH/12	WA-1 L100 T3	2025-11-24 08:00:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-24 09:00:00 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	When can I have him back, Ben?
context	WA-1 L101 T3	2025-11-26 02:28:58 GMT export clock: 2025-11-26 03:28:58 CET	Ben Sample [defendant]	Can you send me the address again?

Paragraph D4 (no. 4)

Issue(s): I2

A4: contradicted — assertion by Ben Sample [defendant]: every request answered within 24 hours

6 request(s) in scope: 3 answered within 24 h, 0 possibly, 3 with no answer within 24 h, 0 right-censored. Pairing: first later answer-coded reply by an addressee.

Ref	Source / line / tier	Time (court clock)	Sender [role]	Message
context	WA-1 L45 T3	2025-10-20 11:21:35 BST export clock: 2025-10-20 12:21:35 CEST	Ada Example [claimant]	Just landed.
SCH/2	WA-1 L46 T3	2025-10-20 17:02:11 BST export clock: 2025-10-20 18:02:11 CEST	Ada Example [claimant]	Hi Ben, can I see Pixel this Saturday? I would love to take him for a walk.
context	WA-1 L47 T3	2025-10-20 19:15:40 BST export clock: 2025-10-20 20:15:40 CEST	Ben Sample [defendant]	Yes, Saturday at ten works. I will bring him to the park.
context	WA-1 L55 T3	2025-10-26 01:50:00 BST – 01:50:00 GMT interval: 2 readings (ambiguous source time) export clock: 2025-10-26 02:50:00 CEST to 02:50:00 CET	Ben Sample [defendant]	Yes, a full bowl. Go to sleep.
SCH/3	WA-1 L56 T3	2025-10-26 01:15:30 BST – 01:15:30 GMT interval: 2 readings (ambiguous source time) export clock: 2025-10-26 02:15:30 CEST to 02:15:30 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	Thanks. Can I have Pixel back from Friday?
context see SCH/4	WA-1 L57 T3	2025-10-26 01:40:00 BST – 01:40:00 GMT interval: 2 readings (ambiguous source time) export clock: 2025-10-26 02:40:00 CEST to 02:40:00 CET	Ben Sample [defendant]	Not before the behaviourist has seen him.
context	WA-1 L67 T3	2025-10-31 07:20:42 GMT export clock: 2025-10-31 08:20:42 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	See you then.
SCH/5	WA-1 L68 T3	2025-11-03 08:00:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-03 09:00:00 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	Please return Pixel to me this week.
[1 message withheld here: severed under the matter's instruction]				
context	SG-1 L113 T2	2025-11-15 07:09:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-15 08:09:00 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	FORWARDED Pixel was fine at the vet on Tuesday and the jab is done, so nothing stops him going to Ada this weekend.
SCH/9	SG-1 L119 T2	2025-11-16 06:30:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-16 07:30:00 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	I need Pixel back by Friday, or I will start proceedings.
context	SG-1 L125 T2	2025-11-16 07:16:44 GMT export clock: 2025-11-16 08:16:44 CET	Ben Sample [defendant]	Talk later.

Ref	Source / line / tier	Time (court clock)	Sender [role]	Message
context see SCH/8	WA-1 L85 T3	2025-11-14 15:12:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-14 16:12:00 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	Sure, wonderful, that is totally fair. Take him for as long as you like.
SCH/10	WA-1 L86 T3	2025-11-16 09:00:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-16 10:00:00 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	Can I walk Pixel on Sunday?
context	WA-1 L87 T3	2025-11-16 09:31:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-16 10:31:00 CET	Ben Sample [defendant]	EDITED I can do Sunday at noon. [U+200E]<This message was edited> Edit marker present; the original text is not in this export.
context	WA-1 L99 T3	2025-11-23 17:30:43 GMT export clock: 2025-11-23 18:30:43 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	Pixel ate all his dinner.
SCH/12	WA-1 L100 T3	2025-11-24 08:00:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-24 09:00:00 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	When can I have him back, Ben?
context	WA-1 L101 T3	2025-11-26 02:28:58 GMT export clock: 2025-11-26 03:28:58 CET	Ben Sample [defendant]	Can you send me the address again?

Paragraph D5 (no. 5)

The Claimant undertook to pay the veterinary bill.

Issue(s): I2

A5: qualified — assertion by Ben Sample [defendant]: Claimant undertook to pay the veterinary bill

No endorsed statement by the party; 1 row(s) in which another speaker attributes the proposition to the party (reported, not the party's own words).

Ref	Source / line / tier	Time (court clock)	Sender [role]	Message
context	WA-1 L73 T3	2025-11-07 11:02:22 GMT export clock: 2025-11-07 12:02:22 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	Happy to help.
SCH/6	WA-1 L74 T3	2025-11-08 11:00:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-08 12:00:00 CET	Ben Sample [defendant]	Ada told Cal she would pay the vet bill by Friday.
context	WA-1 L75 T3	2025-11-08 11:30:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-08 12:30:00 CET	Ben Sample [defendant]	He bit the neighbour's boy, so he needs the trainer first.

Paragraph D6 (no. 6)

Cal Witness was party to the plan of 12 October 2025.

Issue(s): I2

A6: contradicted — assertion by Ben Sample [defendant]: Cal Witness was a recipient of the plan

P-CAL was not a member of the conversation at the time (joined at 2025-11-10 11:00:00 UTC, line 31).

Ref	Source / line / tier	Time (court clock)	Sender [role]	Message
context	WA-2 L10 T3	2025-10-10 03:27:12 BST export clock: 2025-10-10 04:27:12 CEST	Ben Sample [defendant]	Sure.
SCH/1	WA-2 L11 T3	2025-10-12 10:00:00 BST export clock: 2025-10-12 11:00:00 CEST	Ben Sample [defendant]	The plan: Pixel stays with me on weekdays and goes to Ada at weekends.
context	WA-2 L12 T3	2025-10-15 00:36:54 BST export clock: 2025-10-15 01:36:54 CEST	Ada Example [claimant]	Sure.

Paragraph D7 (no. 7)

The Claimant accepted the arrangement proposed on 14 November 2025.

Issue(s): I1

A7: qualified — assertion by Ben Sample [defendant]: Claimant accepted the 14 November arrangement

1 matching row(s), but every matching row is low-confidence or flagged (e.g. irony).

Ref	Source / line / tier	Time (court clock)	Sender [role]	Message
context	WA-1 L84 T3	2025-11-14 15:00:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-14 16:00:00 CET	Ben Sample [defendant]	I will keep Pixel until January and you can see him on Sundays. Fair?
SCH/8	WA-1 L85 T3	2025-11-14 15:12:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-14 16:12:00 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	Sure, wonderful, that is totally fair. Take him for as long as you like.
context see SCH/10	WA-1 L86 T3	2025-11-16 09:00:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-16 10:00:00 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	Can I walk Pixel on Sunday?

Paragraph D8 (no. 8)

The Claimant never asked the Defendant for money, and made a payment to him in January 2026.

Issue(s): I3

A8a: qualified — assertion by Ben Sample [defendant]: Claimant never asked for money

No row is coded as the asserted code(s), but 1 row(s) match the search text and have not been read as contrary or not: WA-1:L94. Searched 94 non-severed row(s) of the party in scope by lexicon and regex over normalised text (34 coded, 60 uncoded, of which 11 undecided or rejected candidate(s) awaiting review; 0 held for severance review: searched, words not shown). 1 row(s) severed by explicit code were not searched.

Ref	Source / line / tier	Time (court clock)	Sender [role]	Message
[1 message withheld here: not put in under the relevance register]				
SCH/11	WA-1 L94 T3	2025-11-21 07:50:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-21 08:50:00 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	I paid the GBP 250 vet deposit last month.
context	WA-1 L95 T3	2025-11-21 12:40:27 GMT export clock: 2025-11-21 13:40:27 CET	Ben Sample [defendant]	Pixel slept on the sofa all afternoon.

A8b: silent — assertion by Ben Sample [defendant]: Claimant made a payment in January 2026

No row of the party in scope: the export does not speak to this period. sources searched: SG-1 (T2) 2025-10-26 to 2025-12-14; WA-1 (T3) 2025-09-04 to 2025-12-12; WA-2 (T3) 2025-10-01 to 2025-12-12. Known gaps: SG-1: history before 2025-11-01 not in export; WA-1: 9 of 7 Source SG-1: signal-sigtop.txt · export covers to 2025-12-14 · SHA-256 96db7ed81d819c44f1463e7afadbea4a5cc5139b4db9ab23da7c42aa1bc664c2 · tier T2 · exported 2025-12-16 Source WA-1: whatsapp-ios-12h.txt · export covers to 2025-12-12 · SHA-256 6ef41f15f670fb9e8fa7ebdb1cce6cfdd91ffc2a54e9faf97aa7c33ccb9c97da · tier T3 · exported 2025-12-15 Source WA-2: whatsapp-ios-24h-group.txt · export covers to 2025-12-12 · SHA-256 c6236ba901c8a3d8c3a68a7caa49dac6e03a691a069ff00a1a678a6d8a105079 · tier T3 · exported 2025-12-15 Factum 0.1.0a0 · run 64eb7d4964a76303 · SYNTHETIC

delivery or read times / original text of edited messages not in export; WA-2: group export from Ada's phone; Ben's and Cal's devices not examined.

Paragraph PoC1 (no. 9)

On 12 November 2025 the Defendant promised to return Pixel on 15 November 2025.

Issue(s): I2

B1: confirmed — assertion by Ada Example [claimant]: Defendant promised return on 15 November

1 matching row(s): SG-1:L49.

Ref	Source / line / tier	Time (court clock)	Sender [role]	Message
context	SG-1 L42 T2	2025-11-10 18:19:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-10 19:19:00 CET	Ben Sample [defendant]	Sure.
SCH/7	SG-1 L49 T2	2025-11-12 08:00:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-12 09:00:00 CET	Ben Sample [defendant]	I will return Pixel on 15 November.
context	SG-1 L56 T2	2025-11-12 08:05:00 GMT export clock: 2025-11-12 09:05:00 CET	Ada Example [claimant]	Thank you, I will hold you to that.

Paragraph PoC2 (no. 10)

The Defendant did not answer the Claimant's request of 3 November 2025.

Issue(s): I1

B2: qualified — assertion by Ada Example [claimant]: no answer by the Defendant between 3 and 6 November

No row is coded as the asserted code(s), but 1 row(s) match the search text and have not been read as contrary or not: [row not reproduced]. Searched 3 non-severed row(s) of the party in scope by lexicon and regex over normalised text (1 coded, 2 uncoded, of which 0 undecided or rejected candidate(s) awaiting review; 0 held for severance review: searched, words not shown). 0 row(s) severed by explicit code were not searched.

1 row(s) cited by the register for this assertion are not reproduced (not coded to an issue, or not put in under the relevance register); their lines are not listed.